



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,448	10/08/2003	Benjamin A. Knott	130332.00079	9392
67942	7590	07/01/2008		
RAMAN N. DEWAN JACKSON WALKER, L.L.P. 100 CONGRESS AVENUE SUITE 1100 AUSTIN, TX 78701			EXAMINER CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
			ART UNIT 3622	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/01/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/681,448

Applicant(s)

KNOTT ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffrey D. Carlson

Art Unit

3622

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the paper(s) filed 4/4/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 1-10, 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HERZ et al (US 2001/0014868).**

4. HERZ et al teaches a dynamic, electronic, customized advertising system where shoppers are profiled based on demographics as well as online shopping and purchasing history. The system then selects customized advertising/information to be presented to the identified user or type of shopper in order to provide the most effective visual and textual ads. Displayed content can be subtly rearranged, lengthened or shortened from one type of shopper to the next [abstract, ¶ 5]. Stored offers can have different sets of values for various parameters such as price and advertising copy [¶ 73]. This type of targeting to groups/models of shoppers is taken to provide storage of various shopper models as well as alternative web content formats so that a matching process can be executed to deliver the custom targeted advertising. HERZ et al may not specify a default content to display to a default user, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have displayed a default ad to a

particular new user until a meaningful user profile is generated over time as the user shopped using the system. Applicant's naming of different user models with various terms (savings-focused, cost-focused, etc) does not limit or further define the method steps claimed. However, HERZ et al teaches that different groups can be identified as this is taken as teachings for different "models." Further HERZ et al specifies selective profiling and subsequent treatment for shoppers according to price sensitivity [¶ 5] as well as those who traditionally purchase lower cost alternatives within a certain product category [¶ 73]. Further still, the pricing (flat price of \$25, a \$25 sale price from \$35, 50% off of a \$50 item) can be presented according to the type/model of shopper and their cost or savings tendencies. HERZ et al teaches that the system can be used for any type of products and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have presented customized technology ads for shoppers who have showed interest in technology products. The system of HERZ et al is taken to be a system where profile collection and custom advertisement selections are made in real time as the user is online.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant argues that the reference does not teach influencing visual aspects based upon the user's history of usage with the web site. First, 'influencing visual aspects' is taken only to require a change in the content, arrangement or appearance of the page of Herz; This is clearly taught by Herz as the **page content is customized** with different advertising depending on the user's profile. Applicant argues that the user

profiles of Herz are solely concerned with aspects or parameters of the goods being offered. Where a user profile includes purchase history, this represents collection of user data during a prior visit and therefore targeted advertising to that type of user profile reads on the claim language. Further, applicant's argument that the profile of Herz (as seen in the table of col 1. ¶ 0029) includes only information about aspects of goods or services, is wrong. The referenced profile table clearly also includes the 'web pages visited' for the user/shoppers. The table of ¶ 55-72 does not include user information because that is a list of parameters for the offers (text to use in the offer, colors of the product) and are not targeting parameters.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 571-272-6716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays; off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey D. Carlson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622

Jeffrey D. Carlson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3622

jdc